#### **TEEN PARENT PROGRAM**

### FISCAL YEAR 2004 SIX MONTH UPDATE (APRIL 2004 - SEPTEMBER 2004)

Data Prepared by
Performance Excellence Administration
Michigan Department of Human Services

January 2006

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
PART I: ENTRANCE INTO THE PROGRAM	4
TABLE 1 NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS	4
TABLE 2 REFERRAL SOURCE	{
PART II: PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS	
TABLE 3 RACE/ETHNICITY	
TABLE 4 GENDER	
TABLE 5 AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS	8
TABLE 6 AGE BY GENDER	
TABLE 7 MARITAL STATUS	10
PART III: PREGNANCY AND PARENTING INFORMATION	
TABLE 8 PREGANCY/PARENTING STATUS	1
TABLE 8A PRENATAL CARE	11
TABLE 8B OF THOSE PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN	12
TABLE 8C OF THOSE PREGNANT & PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN	13
PART IV: EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS	14
TABLE 9 EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE	16
TABLE 10 EMPLOYEMNT STATUS	17
PART V: LIVING ARRANGEMENT	18
TABLE 11 LIVING ARRANGEMENT	19
TABLE 12 AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT	20

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Michigan Department of Human Services' (DHS; formerly the Michigan Family Independence Agency) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program began October 1, 1994. This document represents the second six-month update for FY 03-04 (i.e., April 2004 through September 2004) and is comprised of fifteen tables, highlights of which are presented below.

- > During this six-month period, 607 new participants entered the program, with 13.1% of the participants being referred to the program by their local DHS offices.
- > The race/ethnicity breakdown was as follows:

▶ 64.6% African American

> 5.1% Hispanic

0.5% Native American

> 27.8% White

> 2.0% Other (multi-racial)

- Providers have the option of providing services to teen fathers. A number of sites have exercised this option, with males comprising 9.6% of the recently enrolled participants.
- > The average age of this group of participants was 18.20 years.
- > 97.2% of the participants were single.
- > 52.4% of the participants were pregnant (or pregnant **and** parenting) upon entering the program, with 94.0% of those participating in prenatal care at that time.
- > 56.5% of the teens were parenting (or pregnant **and** parenting), with 83.7% of them parenting one child, 13.7% parenting two children, 2.3% parenting three children, and 0.3% parenting four children.
- On average, the highest grade completed by the teens was 10.2.
- > At the time of entering the program (note, duplicate responses were possible: e.g., a person could be identified as being in GED training and school simultaneously),
  - > 46.8% of the participants were enrolled in school.

- > 2.0% of the participants were GED holders.
- > 5.4% of the participants were enrolled in GED training.
- > 16.8% of the participants were high school graduates.
- > 15.4% of the participants were employed at the time they entered the program, averaging 26.2 hours of work a week at an average hourly rate of \$6.70.
- > 29.8% of the participants were not involved in education **or** employment activities at the time they entered the program.

#### TEEN PARENT PROGRAM

# Fiscal Year 2004 Six Month Update April 2004 - September 2004

The Michigan Department of Human Services' (DHS; formerly the Michigan Family Independence Agency) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program (TPP) began October 1, 1994. This document represents the second six-month update for FY 03-04. Specifically, the following tables summarize intake information about those individuals who entered the program during the **latter** six months of fiscal year 2004, namely, April 2004 through September 2004.

The program continues to operate via contract with twenty-one sites (21) in eighteen (18) counties. The specific counties served by the program are Berrien, Calhoun, Chippewa, Clare, Genesee, Ingham, Jackson, Kalamazoo, Kent, Lake, Montcalm, Muskegon, Newaygo, Oakland, Ogemaw, Saginaw, Van Buren, and Wayne, which is home to four (4) sites.

#### PART I: ENTRANCE INTO THE PROGRAM

**Table 1** presents the total number of participants who entered the teen parent program between April 1, 2004, and September 30, 2004. During this six-month period, 607 new participants entered the program.

# Table 1 NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS

NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS				FY04	FY03				
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	TOTAL <sup>1</sup>	TOTAL
Number of Participants Entering the Program During the Month	105	93	101	85	106	117	607	1,238	1,259

-4-

In addition to these 1,238 new cases entering the program during fiscal year 2004, there were 1,016 active carry-over/ongoing cases that were receiving services at the start of the fiscal year (i.e., cases that opened prior to October 1, 2003, and remained open as of the start of FY03-04). Source: Teen Parent Program Semi-Annual Monitoring Report (October 2003).

**Table 2** identifies the sources responsible for referring the participants to the program. Referrals received from the Department of Human Services (DHS) were to be given top priority. As can be seen, 13.1% (79) of the referrals during this six month period were from the DHS. This was surpassed by referrals from: (a) some "other" source (see footnote, below, for details regarding "other" referral sources), which accounted for 37.6% (228) of the referrals, and (b) community agencies, which accounted for 22.0% (133) of the referrals. The remaining 27.3% (165) of the individuals were referred to the program by such sources as health care provider, public/community health, and schools.

Table 2
REFERRAL SOURCE

REFERRAL SOURCE				MONT	Н			FY04	FY03 TOTAL
KEI EKKAE SOOKOE	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	TOTAL	
DHS	18	12	10	11	21	7	79 (13.1%)	163 (13.2%)	167 (13.3%)
Health Care Provider	15	7	7	6	5	3	43 (7.1%)	93 (7.5%)	134 (10.7%)
Public/Community Health	13	7	14	9	15	18	76 (12.6%)	153 (12.4%)	141 (11.2%)
Community Agency	27	11	22	18	27	28	133 (22.0%)	243 (19.7%)	170 (13.5%)
Mental Health	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0.1%)	(0.2%)
School	3	10	4	1	5	23	46 (7.6%)	123 (10.0%)	131 (10.4%)
Other <sup>2</sup>	29	45	44	40	33	37	228 (37.6%)	459 (37.2%)	512 (40.7%)
TOTALS	105	92	101	85	106	116	605 (100.0%)	1,235 (100.0%) <sup>3</sup>	1,258 (100.0%)
Missing <sup>4</sup>	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	3	1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Other" responses given included the following: self, friend, relative, partner, neighbor, another program participant, was a former program participant, mentor, TPP agency, foster care, court system (e.g., juvenile court, family court, probation officer), "Healthy Families," Early-On, MSS/ISS, church, community baby shower, yellow pages, brochure, flyer, Comcast community bulletin, business card, etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In this and subsequent tables, total may not equal 100.0% due to rounding error.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Missing, in this and subsequent tables, refers to information that was unavailable at time of reporting.

#### PART II: PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS

**Table 3** presents the racial/ethnic breakdown of participants entering the program during the latter six months of fiscal year 2004. Accordingly, 64.6% (392) of the individuals were African American, 27.8% (169) were white, 5.1% (31) were Hispanic, and 0.5% (3) were Native American. The "other" responses served to identify twelve individuals (2.0%) as multi-racial.

Table 3
RACE/ETHNICITY

RACE/ETHNICITY				MONT	Н			FY04	FY03
TVACE/ETTINIOTT	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	TOTAL	TOTAL
White	35	28	21	23	31	31	169 (27.8%)	351 (28.5%)	334 (26.6%)
African American	59	58	72	56	67	80	392 (64.6%)	785 (63.8%)	820 (65.3%)
Native American	0	1	1	0	1	0	3 (0.5%)	7 (0.6%)	13 (1.0%)
Hispanic	7	6	6	4	5	3	31 (5.1%)	66 (5.4%)	62 (4.9%)
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(0.2%)
Other	4	0	1	2	2	3	12 (2.0%)	21 (1.7%)	25 (2.0%)
TOTALS	105	93	101	85	106	117	607 (100.0%)	1,230 (100.0%)	1,256 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	3

Providers have the option of providing services to teen fathers. *Table 4* presents the gender breakdown of participants entering the program during the latter six months of fiscal year 2004. Accordingly, 90.4% (549) of the individuals were female, and 9.6% (58) were male.

*Table 4*GENDER

			МО	NTH		FY04	FY03		
GENDER	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	TOTAL	TOTAL
Female	97	81	89	75	99	108	549 (90.4%)	1,143 (92.3%)	1,148 (91.2%)
Male	8	12	12	10	7	9	58 (9.6%)	95 (7.7%)	111 (8.8%)
TOTALS	105	93	101	85	106	117	607 (100.0%)	1,1238 (100.0%)	1,259 (100.0%)

**Table 5** displays the age distribution of participants entering the program during the latter six months of fiscal year 2004, with the overall average age being 18.20 years. For those participants entering the program during the months of April, May and June 2004, age was calculated as of June 30, 2004, with the average age being 18.23 years. Meanwhile, for those who entered during the months of July, August and September 2004, age was calculated as of September 30, 2004, with the average age being 18.17 years.

Table 5
AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS

				MONT	Н			FY04	FY03
AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	TOTAL	TOTAL
Twelve	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(0.1%)
Thirteen	0	0	0	0	1	3	4 (0.7%)	5 (0.4%)	9 (0.7%)
Fourteen	2	0	2	1	4	2	11 (1.8%)	28 (2.3%)	31 (2.5%)
Fifteen	5	8	7	8	10	10	48 (8.1%)	97 (7.7%)	87 (7.1%)
Sixteen	18	14	12	9	10	21	84 (14.1%)	171 (14.1%)	175 (14.3%)
Seventeen	23	19	23	16	28	25	134 (22.5%)	263 (21.7%)	270 (22.1%)
Eighteen	20	19	29	18	21	18	125 (21.0%)	263 (21.7%)	259 (21.2%)
Nineteen	21	17	15	14	21	17	105 (17.6%)	217 (17.9%)	195 (15.9%)
Twenty	10	12	9	9	6	17	63 (10.6%)	128 (10.6%)	139 (11.4%)
Twenty-one and older	2	1	4	9	3	2	21 (3.5%)	35 (2.9%)	58 (4.7%)
TOTALS	101	90	101	84	104	115	595 (100.0%)	1,213 (100.0%)	1,224 (100.0%)
Missing	4	3	0	1	2	2	12	25	35

**Table 6** displays the breakdown of age by gender. The average female participant was 18.01 years old, and the average male participant was 19.94 years old.

Table 6
AGE BY GENDER<sup>5</sup>

AGE BY	LATTER	SIX MONTH	IS - FISCAL Y	EAR 04	FY04 %	FY03 %
GENDER	% 16 Years and Under	% 17 Years	% 18 Years and Over	Totals (N)	Total (N)	Total (N)
Female	95.9	97.0	85.0	90.4 (538)	92.3 (1,120)	91.7 (1,123)
Male	4.1	3.0	15.0	9.6 (57)	7.7 (93)	8.3 (101)
TOTALS (N)	100.0 (147)	100.0 (134)	100.0 (314)	100.0 (595)	100.0 (1,213)	100.0 (1,224)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>For the latter six months of FY04, there were twelve cases for which information about age was missing, bringing the YTD total of missing cases to twenty-five. Meanwhile, for FY03, there were thirty-five cases for which information about age was missing.

**Table 7** displays the marital status of the participants. Accordingly, 97.2% (590) were single and 2.5% (15) were married. In addition, two participants (0.3%) were separated from their spouses.

Of the fifteen individuals who were married, eight were white and seven were African American. In terms of age, one was seventeen years old and fourteen were eighteen years old or older. In terms of gender, fourteen were female and one was male.

*Table 7*MARITAL STATUS

MARITAL STATUS				MONT	Н			FY04	FY03 TOTAL
WARTINE STATES	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	TOTAL	
Single	102	92	99	76	105	116	590 (97.2%)	1,187 (96.5%)	1,218 (97.0%)
Married	2	1	2	8	1	1	15 (2.5%)	40 (3.3%)	34 (2.7%)
Divorced	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 (0.2%)
Other	1	0	0	1	0	0	2 (0.3%)	3 (0.2%)	1 (0.1%)
TOTALS	105	93	101	85	106	117	607 (100.0%)	1,230 (100.0%)	1,256 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	3

#### PART III: PREGNANCY AND PARENTING INFORMATION

**Table 8** reveals the number of participants who were pregnant, parenting, or pregnant and parenting at time of intake. Accordingly, 43.5% (264) were pregnant, 47.6% (289) were parenting, and 8.9% (54) were pregnant and parenting upon entering the program.

Table 8
PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS

PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE				MONT	Н			FY04	FY03
THEORY INCOME OF THE OF THE OF THE OF	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	TOTAL	TOTAL
Pregnant	50	38	38	36	44	58	264 (43.5%)	530 (42.8%)	573 (45.5%)
Parenting	49	52	53	37	53	45	289 (47.6%)	605 (48.9%)	591 (46.9%)
Pregnant and Parenting	6	3	10	12	9	14	54 (8.9%)	102 (8.2%)	95 (7.5%)
TOTALS	105	93	101	85	106	117	607 (100.0%)	1,137 (100.0%)	1,259 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0

Meanwhile, of those pregnant upon entering the program, 94.0% were receiving prenatal care at that time, as shown in *Table 8A* below:

Table 8A
PRENATAL CARE

IF PARTICIPANT WAS PREGNANT AT TIME OF INTAKE, WAS SHE RECEIVING PRENATAL CARE?				FY04	FY03				
WAS SHE RECEIVING PRENATAL CARE?	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	LS TOTAL	TOTAL
Yes	53	39	46	47	51	61	297 (94.0%)	585 (94.1%)	623 (95.3%)
No	2	2	2	1	2	10	19 (6.0%)	37 (5.9%)	31 (4.7%)
TOTALS	55	41	48	48	53	71	316 (100.0%)	622 (100.0%)	654 (100.0%)
Missing	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	14

In addition, the status of those parenting (or pregnant and parenting) may be further described in terms of the number of children they had at time of intake. These data are displayed in tables 8B and 8C. With respect to ages of the children, 76.2% (310) were one year or younger, 12.3% (50) were two years old, 7.6% (31) were three years old, 2.5% (10) were four years old, and 1.5% (6) were five years old or older.

According to *Table 8B*, 83.4% (241) of those parenting had one child, 13.8% (40) had two children, 2.4% (7) had three children, and one individual (0.3%) had four children.

*Table 8B*OF THOSE PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN

OF THOSE PARENTING AT TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER				MONT	Н			FY04	FY03
OF CHILDREN:	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	TOTAL	TOTAL
One	41	45	46	30	45	34	241 (83.4%)	516 (85.4%)	485 (83.3%)
Two	8	6	6	4	7	9	40 (13.8%)	78 (12.9%)	86 (14.8%)
Three	0	1	1	3	1	1	7 (2.4%)	9 (1.5%)	8 (1.4%)
Four	0	0	0	0	0	1	1 (0.3%)	(0.3%)	(0.3%)
Five	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(0.2%)
TOTALS	49	52	53	37	53	45	289 (100.0%)	604 (100.0%)	582 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	9

Similarly, *Table 8C* reveals that 85.2% (46) of the individuals who were pregnant and parenting had one child, 13.0% (7) had two children, and 1.9% (1) had three children.

Table 8C
OF THOSE PREGNANT AND PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN

IF PARTICIPANT WAS PREGNANT & PARENTING AT				MONT	Ή			FY04	FY03
TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER OF CHILDREN:	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	TOTAL	TOTAL
One	4	3	9	10	9	11	46 (85.2%)	89 (88.1%)	75 (79.8%)
Two	2	0	1	2	0	2	7 (13.0%)	10 (9.9%)	18 (19.1%)
Three	0	0	0	0	0	1	1 (1.9%)	(2.0%)	1 (1.1%)
TOTALS	6	3	10	12	9	14	54 (100.0%)	101 (100.0%)	94 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1

#### PART IV: EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS

**Tables 9 and 10** reveal the participants' educational and employment status at time of intake. Note that, on average, the highest grade completed by the participants upon entering the program was 10.2.

#### A. School

The 275 individuals (46.8%) enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- Nineteen individuals were enrolled in both school and GED training.
- Fifteen individuals had a high school diploma.
- One individual had a GED.
- Thirty-three teens were working and going to school.
- > On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 9.9.
- In terms of age, this group of individuals averaged 17.27 years, with 42.0% being sixteen years old or younger, 30.9% being seventeen years old, and 27.1% being eighteen years old or older.

The 313 individuals (53.2%) who were not enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- Eighty-four teens had a high school diploma.
- Eleven participants had a GED certificate.
- Thirteen individuals were in GED training.
- Fifty-seven teens were employed.
- On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 10.5.
- In terms of age, this group of individuals averaged 18.97 years, with 10.0% being sixteen years old or younger, 16.1% being seventeen years old, and 73.9% being eighteen years old or older.

Of the nineteen participants for whom information about school enrollment was missing, eighteen were similarly missing responses to the remaining questions regarding education and employment; and one had a mix of negative and missing responses for the remaining questions.

#### B. GED Training

Of the thirty-two individuals in GED training, nineteen were also in school and four were working. In terms of age, 10.3% were sixteen years old or younger, 24.1% were seventeen years old, and 65.5% were eighteen years old or older.

#### C. GED Certificate

Twelve individuals were identified as having a GED certificate, five of who were working and one of who was continuing their education.

#### D. High School Diploma

The ninety-nine individuals who had a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- > Fifteen teens were continuing their education.
- Twenty-nine teens were working.

The 489 individuals who did not have a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- > 260 teens were enrolled in school.
- Thirty-two teens were in GED training (including nineteen who were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Twelve teens, while lacking a diploma, did have a GED certificate.
- Sixty-one individuals, who lacked a high school diploma, were working at the time they entered the program.

For 181 individuals, or 29.8% of those who entered the program during the latter six months of fiscal year 2004, negative responses were received for each question regarding education **and** employment. In other words, they were neither enrolled in school nor GED training, lacked a GED certificate or high school diploma, and were not employed. In terms of age, 15.5% of these individuals were sixteen years old or younger, 23.2% were seventeen years old, and 61.3% were eighteen years old or older.

Table 9
EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE

PARTICIPANT'S EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE	MONTH							FY04 TOTAL	FY03 TOTAL
A. Was the participant in school at intake?	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Yes	48	41	40	31	46	69	275 (46.8%)	577 (48.3%)	572 (46.3%)
No	52	51	61	50	53	46	313 (53.2%)	618 (51.7%)	663 (53.7%)
TOTALS (Missing)	100 (5)	92 (1)	101	81 (4)	99 (7)	115 (2)	588 (19) (100.0%)	1,195 (43) (100.0%)	1,235 (24) (100.0%)
B. Was the participant in GED training?	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	04 Total	03 Total
Yes	3	4	6	3	7	9	32 (5.4%)	75 (6.3%)	62 (5.0%)
No	97	88	95	78	92	106	556 (94.6%)	1,122 (93.7%)	1173 (95.0%)
TOTALS (Missing)	100 (5)	92 (1)	101	81 (4)	99 (7)	115 (2)	588 (19) (100.0%)	1,197 (41) (100.0%)	1,235 (24) (100.0%)
C. Did the participant have a GED?	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	04 Total	03 Total
Yes	2	1	3	3	1	2	12 (2.0%)	30 (2.5%)	51 (4.1%)
No	98	91	98	78	98	113	576 (98.0%)	1,169 (97.5%)	1187 (95.9%)
TOTALS (Missing)	100 (5)	92 (1)	101	81 (4)	99 (7)	115 (2)	588 (19) (100.0%)	1,199 (39) (100.0%)	1,238 (21) (100.0%)
D. Did the participant have a hs diploma?	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	04 Total	03 Total
Yes	15	10	28	14	17	15	99 (16.8%)	171 (14.3%)	179 (14.5%)
No	85	82	73	67	82	100	489 (83.2%)	1,028 (85.7%)	1057 (85.5%)
TOTALS (Missing)	100 (5)	92 (1)	101	81 (4)	99 (7)	115 (2)	588 (19) (100.0%)	1,199 (39) (100.0%)	1,236 (23) (100.0%)

**Table 10** indicates the number of participants who were employed at time of intake. Accordingly, 15.4% (91) had a job upon entering the teen parent program, whereas 84.6% (498) of the individuals were unemployed.

Table 10
EMPLOYMENT STATUS

WAS THE PARTICIPANT WORKING AT TIME OF		MONTH							FY03
INTAKE?	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	TOTAL	TOTAL
Yes	12	14	17	15	15	18	91 (15.4%)	178 (14.9%)	170 (13.8%)
No	88	78	84	67	84	97	498 (84.6%)	1,016 (85.1%)	1,061 (86.2%)
TOTALS	100	92	101	82	99	115	589 (100.0%)	1.194 (100.0%)	1,231 (100.0%)
Missing	5	1	0	3	7	2	18	44	28

For the ninety-one teens employed at time of entry into the program, the average weekly hours worked was 26.2 and the average hourly wage was \$6.70. In addition, the average age of those employed was 18.94 years. Furthermore,

- Seventy-two (79.1%) of those employed were females, representing 13.1% of the females entering the program during this six month period. Meanwhile, nineteen (20.9%) of those employed were male, representing 32.8% of the males entering the program.
- > Twenty-nine individuals had a high school diploma (three of who were also continuing their education).
- Five teens had a GED certificate (one of who was also continuing their education).
- Four teens were in GED training, including one who was also enrolled in school.
- Thirty-three individuals were enrolled in school (three of who had a diploma, one had a GED, and one was in GED training).
- Twenty-four teens were working, but were not in school or GED training, nor did they have a diploma or GED (note: one individual, while working, was missing information related to education).

The 498 individuals who were not working at time of program entry may further be described in the following manner:

- > Of the teens not working, 242 were enrolled in school (including eighteen who were also in GED training, and twelve who had a high school diploma).
- Twenty-eight teens were in GED training (eighteen of who were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Seventy individuals had a high school diploma (twelve of who were also continuing their education).
- Seven teens had a GED certificate.

#### PART V: LIVING ARRANGEMENT

**Table 11**, on the following page, presents the participants' living arrangements upon entering the program. As indicated, 51.7% of the individuals who entered the program during the latter six months of FY04 resided with their parent(s). This was followed by 13.1% living with other relative(s), and 9.0% living independently. The remaining 26.2% was scattered throughout the remaining available responses.

**Table 12**, on page 20, presents a breakdown of living arrangements in terms of age. For example, 71.4% of those teens aged sixteen years or younger were residing with their parent(s) upon entering the program. Meanwhile, 53.0% of those aged seventeen and 38.0% of those aged eighteen or older were living with their parents.

- All totaled, 94.5% of those teens aged sixteen or younger resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, or in formal placement. Similarly, 82.5% of those aged seventeen resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, or in formal placement.
- In Table 11 and Table 12, "other" responses given included the following: living with friend(s), living in partner's family's home without partner, living with parents and partner, living with grandparent and partner, living in transitional living program, moving back and forth from one place (e.g., parent's home or shelter) to another (e.g., aunt's home or friend's home), etc.

Table 11
LIVING ARRANGEMENT

WHAT WAS THE PARTICIPANT'S LIVING ARRANGEMENT AT TIME OF INTAKE?		MONTH							FY03
		MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	TOTAL	TOTAL
w/Parents	62	39	53	44	48	66	312 (51.7%)	630 (51.8%)	648 (51.8%)
w/Guardian	2	6	4	4	6	1	23 (3.8%)	43 (3.5%)	44 (3.5%)
w/Other relative	10	12	11	12	16	18	79 (13.1%)	155 (12.7%)	171 (13.7%)
w/Partner	4	5	8	1	6	5	29 (4.8%)	57 (4.7%)	79 (6.3%)
w/Spouse	1	1	0	6	0	0	8 (1.3%)	22 (1.8%)	23 (1.8%)
Formal placement	2	3	2	4	3	3	17 (2.8%)	43 (3.5%)	45 (3.6%)
Independently	6	15	8	7	7	11	54 (9.0%)	123 (10.1%)	90 (7.2%)
Homeless	2	2	6	1	6	3	20 (3.3%)	33 (2.7%)	29 (2.3%)
w/Partner (in partner's family's home)	7	5	5	3	5	7	32 (5.3%)	63 (5.2%)	57 (4.6%)
Other	7	5	4	3	7	3	29 (4.8%)	47 (3.9%)	66 (5.3%)
TOTALS	103	93	101	85	104	117	603 (100.0%)	1,216 (100.0%)	1,252 (100.0%)
Missing	2	0	0	0	2	0	4	22	7

Table 12
AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT<sup>6</sup>

AGE BY LIVING		FY04	FY03			
ARRANGEMENT	% 16 Years and Under	% 17 Years	% 18 Years and Over	Total % (N)	TOTAL % (N)	TOTAL % (N)
w/Parents	71.4	53.0	42.3	51.9 (307)	51.7 (619)	51.8 (633)
w/Guardian	7.5	6.8	1.0	3.9 (23)	3.6 (43)	3.4 (42)
w/Other relative	13.6	15.9	11.5	13.0 (77)	12.7 (152)	13.7 (168)
w/Partner	2.0	3.8	6.7	4.9 (29)	4.8 (57)	6.2 (76)
w/Spouse	0.0	0.0	2.6	1.4 (8)	1.7 (20)	1.8 (22)
Formal placement	2.0	6.8	1.6	2.9 (17)	3.6 (43)	3.6 (44)
Independently	0.0	2.3	15.4	8.6 (51)	10.0 (119)	7.3 (89)
Homeless	0.0	3.0	5.1	3.4 (20)	2.8 (33)	2.4 (29)
w/Partner (in partner's family's home)	1.4	4.5	7.1	5.1 (30)	5.1 (61)	4.4 (54)
Other	2.0	3.8	6.7	4.9 (29)	3.9 (47)	5.3 (65)
TOTALS (N)	100.0 (147)	100.0 (132)	100.0 (312)	100.0 (591)	100.0 (1,194)	100.0 (1,222)

\_

For the latter six months of fiscal year 2003-2004, there were sixteen individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown, bringing the year-to-date total of cases missing such information to forty-four. NOTE: For FY 02-03, there were thirty-seven individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown.